



Age 12/09/2007

Page: 2 **Business News**

Region: Melbourne Circulation: 202500

Type: Capital City Daily Size: 265.09 sq.cms

MTWTFS-

Corporate balancing act reflects broader horizons

By LEON GETTLER MÄNAGEMENT REPORTER

AUSTRALIAN company directors dividends. regard employees and customers parts in the US, according to a retrenching staff. University of Melbourne study.

The study found that 55 per regarded as important. cent of directors believed they were acting in the best interests ment of the company by balancing the interests of all stakeholders, including shareholders, employees and customers.

Only a handful (0.3 per cent) equated the best interests of the company with the short-term interests of shareholders and meant considering the long-term interests of shareholders only.

Most directors (97.4 per cent) said the most important job was ensuring customers and clients were satisfied while 94.2 per cent said it was about ensuring employees were fairly treated. ployee morale (87.3 per cent) was seen as more important than reducing costs (80.1 per cent).

But the most striking feature was that these figures were significantly above other price (45 per cent) and dividend policy (41 per cent).

Asked what actions they would prioritise if the company's ations Act requires directors to financial performance declined, discharge their duties more than half (58.7 per cent) of the directors said they would look at corporation". decreasing suspending or

This was in contrast to the as important as shareholders, 14.9 per cent who said they even more so than their counter- would put more of a priority on mittee and the other from the

But shareholders were still

In the event of an improvein the financial performance of the company, 60.8 per cent of directors said a dividend increase would be a priority.

Only 24 per cent felt the same way about salary or bonus increases for employees.

At the same time, shareimportant in the stakeholder

With the obvious exception of increasing share price, there was little difference in the priorities of directors of listed and unlisted companies.

The figures are in stark con-Significantly, improving em- trast to US studies in which eight out of 10 directors rank shareholders ahead of all other stakeholders, including employees.

Most Australian company directors (94.5 per cent) believe that the law on directors' duties priorities of increasing share is broad enough to allow them to consider the interests of all stakeholders, not just shareholders.

Section 181 of the Corporbest interests of

The findings are significant

following two inquiries last year one from the Corporations and Markets Advisory Comparliamentary Joint Committee on Corporations and Financial Services — which recommended against changing the Corporations Act specifically to take stakeholders into account.

In Britain last year, the Companies Act was passed giving directors new duties to stakeholders as part of their duty to "promote the success of the company".

Professor Ian Ramsay, direconly 6.6 per cent believed that it holders were ranked as the most tor of the Centre for Corporate Law and Securities Regulation at the University of Melbourne, said following the British example would be a mistake. as the Corporations Act seemed sufficiently broad to ensure that directors were acting in the interests of the company when they took stakeholders' interests into account.

> "In a sense, the hardline view of always prioritising shareholders — the James Hardie view, if you like — appears not be reflected here," he said.

KEY POINTS

- Most directors seek to balance the interests of all stakeholders.
- Decreasing dividends, not employee numbers the majority response to hard times.

theage.com.au



 Read the study report at theage.com.au/businessday