



THE UNIVERSITY OF

MELBOURNE

The Cartel Project

REPORT ON A SURVEY OF THE AUSTRALIAN PUBLIC REGARDING ANTI-CARTEL LAW AND ENFORCEMENT

**Associate Professor Caron Beaton-Wells
Associate Professor Fiona Haines
Associate Professor Christine Parker
Chris Platania-Phung**

December 2010

Acknowledgments

The design and administration of the survey that is the subject of this report, followed by the data analysis and the production of the report itself, took place over the course of 2010. It was a team effort, involving the input and collaboration of a considerable number of people. We would like to acknowledge, in particular, Janette Nankivell and Professor David Round from The Cartel Project research team and The Social Centre and, its Director of Research, Graham Challice, for their various contributions to the design and administration of the survey. Several other academic colleagues were generous also with comments on initial drafts of the survey and methodology issues – thanks in this regard go to Dr Yuval Feldman, Dr Vibeke Lehmann Nielsen, Dr Neil Thomason, Professor Sally Simpson and Professor Philip Williams. In addition, we acknowledge the funding support of the Australian Research Council.

TABLE OF CONTENTS¹

Executive summary.....	
1. INTRODUCTION.....	
1.1 Background – cartel criminalisation in Australia	
1.2 About The Cartel Project	
1.3 Why a survey of public opinion on cartel criminalisation?.....	
1.3.1 The relevance of public opinion.....	
1.3.2 The need for an empirical approach in ascertaining public opinion.....	
1.3.3 Lack of empirical evidence of public opinion on cartel criminalisation	
1.4 Scope of the survey	
1.5 Purpose and scope of this report	
2. METHODOLOGY.....	
2.1 Survey instrument – structure, format and purposes	
2.1.1 Demographic background.....	
2.1.2 Interest in and attitudes towards business	
2.1.3 Attitudes towards competition.....	
2.1.4 Attitudes towards cartel conduct	
2.1.5 Crime seriousness	
2.1.6 Compliance and deterrence.....	
2.1.7 Prior knowledge and comments.....	
2.2 Survey medium	
2.3. Survey design and administration stages	
2.4 Survey design features.....	
2.4.1 Vignettes.....	
2.4.2 Open textboxes.....	
2.4.3 Rating scales	

¹ For page numbers for this Table of Contents it is necessary to refer to the full report.

2.5	Survey respondents	
2.5.1	Sampling strategy	
2.5.2	Recruitment and data collection process	
2.5.3	Response rates.....	
2.5.4	Representativeness and weighting process.....	
2.5.5	Response times	
3.	ABOUT THE PRESENTATION OF RESULTS IN THIS REPORT	
4.	PRIOR AWARENESS OF CARTEL-RELATED TOPICS.....	
4.1	Levels of prior awareness	
4.2	Prior awareness and demographic factors	
5.	BUSINESS AND COMPETITION	
5.1	Interest in and attitudes towards business	
5.1.1	Interest in business	
5.1.2	Attitudes towards business as trustworthy/untrustworthy	
5.2	Attitudes towards competition.....	
5.2.1	Attitudes towards competition as healthy/harmful and demographic factors	
6.	CARTEL CONDUCT AS AGAINST THE LAW	
6.1	Price fixing as against the law	
6.2	Market allocation as against the law	
6.3	Output Restriction as against the law.....	
6.4	Comparison between types of cartel conduct as against the law	
7.	CARTEL CONDUCT AS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE	
7.1	Price fixing as a criminal offence	
7.1.1	Price fixing as a criminal offence and demographic factors	
7.2	Market allocation as a criminal offence	
7.2.1	Market allocation as a criminal offence and demographic factors	
7.3	Output restriction as a criminal offence	
7.3.1	Output restriction as a criminal offence and demographic factors	
7.3.2	Output restriction as a criminal offence and business as trustworthy/untrustworthy	

7.4	Comparison between types of cartel conduct as a criminal offence.....	
8.	REASONS FOR CARTEL CONDUCT AS A CRIMINAL OFFENCE	
8.1	Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – price fixing.....	
8.2	Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – market allocation	
8.3	Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – output restriction.....	
8.4	Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – comparison between types of cartel conduct	
9.	PENALTIES/REMEDIES FOR CARTEL CONDUCT	
9.1	Companies	
9.1.1	Companies – Price fixing - Penalties/remedies.....	
9.1.2	Companies – Market Allocation - Penalties/remedies	
9.1.3	Companies – Output restriction - Penalties/remedies	
9.1.4	Companies – Comparison between types of cartel conduct - Penalties/remedies.....	
9.2	Individuals.....	
9.2.1	Individuals – Price fixing – Penalties/remedies	
9.2.2	Individuals – Market allocation - Penalties/remedies	
9.2.3	Individuals – Output restriction - Penalties/remedies.....	
9.2.4	Individuals – Comparison between types of cartel conduct - Penalties/remedies	
10.	FACTORS BEARING ON SERIOUSNESS OF CARTEL CONDUCT	
10.1	Factors bearing on seriousness – price fixing	
10.2	Factors bearing on seriousness – market allocation.....	
10.3	Factors bearing on seriousness – output restriction	
10.4	Factors bearing on seriousness - Comparison between types of cartel conduct	
11.	IMMUNITY POLICY	
11.1	Immunity Policy – price fixing.....	
11.2	Immunity Policy – market allocation	
11.3	Immunity policy – output restriction.....	
11.4	Immunity policy - comparison between types of cartel conduct	
12.	CRIME SERIOUSNESS RATINGS	
12.1	Crime seriousness ratings – price fixing.....	

12.2	Crime seriousness ratings – market allocation	
12.3	Crime seriousness ratings – output restriction	
12.4	Crime seriousness ratings – comparison between types of cartel conduct	
13.	BUSINESS GROUP – SELECTION AND CHARACTERISTICS	
13.1	Selection of Business Group	
13.2	Business group vs general public sample - characteristics	
14.	BUSINESS GROUP – KNOWLEDGE OF THE LAW	
14.1	Knowledge that cartel conduct is against the law	
14.2	Knowledge that cartel conduct is a criminal offence	
14.3	Knowledge of penalties available for cartel conduct	
14.4	Knowledge of the law and opinion of what the law should be	
15.	BUSINESS GROUP – DETERRENCE AND COMPLIANCE	
15.1	Perceptions of deterrence - likelihood of being caught (civil sanctions vs criminal sanctions)	
15.2	Perceptions of deterrence - likelihood of legal action if caught (civil sanctions vs criminal sanctions) ...	
15.3	Perceptions of deterrence - likelihood of jail if found guilty	
15.4	Likelihood of breach - civil sanctions vs criminal sanctions	
15.5	Likelihood of breach – different pressure conditions	
15.6	Likelihood of enforcement and likelihood of breach	
	APPENDIX A: Survey questionnaire	
	APPENDIX B: Structure of survey	
	B1. Overview of major pathways	
	B2. Structure of section D	
	B3. Survey structure – higher and lower order pathways combined	
	B4. Structure of section F	
	APPENDIX C: OPEN-ENDED RESPONSES TO SURVEY	
	C1. Open responses for Section D	
	C2. Business Group – Section F	
	C3. Open-ended responses at end of the survey	
	APPENDIX D: DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF RANDOM SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE OF AUSTRALIAN PUBLIC	

APPENDIX E: INVITATION TO PARTICIPATE AND PLAIN LANGUAGE STATEMENT

E.1 Invitation to participate.....

E2. Opening survey page

E3. Plain Language Statement.....

E4. Closing survey page

LIST OF FIGURES²

Figure 4.1A Levels of prior awareness	
Figure 4.1B Levels of prior awareness (no/low/medium/high)	
Figure 4.2A Prior awareness and age	
Figure 4.2B Prior awareness and gender	
Figure 4.2C Prior awareness and education level	
Figure 4.2D Prior awareness and voting preference	
Figure 4.2E Prior awareness and work position	
Figure 4.2F Prior awareness and workplace size and work position combined	
Figure 5.1.1A Interest in business.....	
Figure 5.1.1B Interest in business and prior awareness	
Figure 5.1.2A Attitudes towards business as trustworthy/untrustworthy	
Figure 5.1.2B Attitudes towards business as trustworthy/untrustworthy	
Figure 5.1.2.1A Attitudes towards business as trustworthy/untrustworthy and education level	
Figure 5.1.2.1B Attitudes towards business as trustworthy/untrustworthy and voting preference	
Figure 5.2A Attitudes towards competition as healthy/harmful.....	
Figure 5.2B Attitudes towards competition as healthy/harmful.....	
Figure 5.2.1 Attitudes towards competition as healthy/harmful and age	
Figure 6.1 Price fixing as against the law	
Figure 6.2: Market allocation as against the law	
Figure 6.3: Output Restriction as against the law.....	
Figure 6.4: Comparison between types of cartel conduct as against the law	
Figure 7.1: Price fixing as a criminal offence	
Figure 7.1.1A Price fixing as a criminal offence and gender	
Figure 7.1.1B Price fixing as a criminal offence and work position	
Figure 7.1.1C Price fixing as a criminal offence and work position and workplace size combined	

^{2 2} For page numbers for this List of Figures it is necessary to refer to the full report.

Figure 7.2: Market allocation as a criminal offence

Figure 7.2.1 Market allocation as a criminal offence and gender

Figure 7.3: Output restriction as a criminal offence.....

Figure 7.3.1 Output restriction as a criminal offence and gender.....

Figure 7.3.2 Output restriction as a criminal offence and trustworthiness of business.....

Figure 7.4 Comparison between types of cartel conduct as a criminal offence.....

Figure 8.1A Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – Price fixing

Figure 8.1B Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – Price fixing (most common response)

Figure 8.2A Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – Market allocation

Figure 8.2B Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – Market allocation (most common response)

Figure 8.3A Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – Output restriction

Figure 8.3B Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – Output restriction (most common response).....

Figure 8.4 Reasons for cartel conduct as a criminal offence – Comparison between types of cartel conduct.....

Figure 9.1.1 Companies – Price fixing - Penalties/remedies.....

Figure 9.1.1.1 Companies – Price fixing - Penalties/remedies – Maximum fine

Figure 9.1.2 Companies – Market Allocation - Penalties/remedies

Figure 9.1.2.1 Companies – Market allocation - Penalties/remedies – Maximum fine

Figure 9.1.3 Companies – Output restriction - Penalties/remedies

Figure 9.1.3.1 Companies – Output restriction – Penalties/remedies – Maximum fine

Figure 9.1.4 Companies – Comparison between types of cartel conduct - Penalties/remedies.....

Figure 9.1.4.1 Companies – Comparison between types of cartel conduct – Penalties/remedies - Maximum fine

Figure 9.2.1 Individuals – Price fixing - Penalties/remedies.....

Figure 9.2.1.1 Individuals – Price fixing - Penalties/remedies – Go to Jail and Gender.....

Figure 9.2.1.2 Individuals – Price fixing - Penalties/remedies – Go to Jail and Prior awareness

Figure 9.2.1.3 Individuals – Price fixing - Penalties/remedies – Go to jail and Trustworthiness of business ...

Figure 9.2.1.4 Individuals – Price fixing - Penalties/remedies – Maximum fine

Figure 9.2.1.5 Individuals – Price fixing - Penalties/remedies – Maximum jail sentence

Figure 9.2.2.1 Individuals – Market allocation - Penalties/remedies

Figure 9.2.2.1A Individuals – Market allocation - Penalties/remedies - Go to jail and Gender

Figure 9.2.2.2 Individuals – Market allocation - Penalties/remedies - Go to jail and Prior awareness

Figure 9.2.2.3 Individuals – Market allocation - Penalties/remedies – Go to jail and Business as trustworthy/untrustworthy

Figure 9.2.2.4 Individuals – Market allocation - Penalties/remedies – Maximum fine

Figure 9.2.2.5 Individuals – Market allocation - Penalties/remedies – Maximum jail sentence

Figure 9.2.3 Individuals – Output restriction - Penalties/remedies.....

Figure 9.2.3.1A Individuals – Output restriction – Penalties/remedies – Go to jail and Gender

Figure 9.2.3.2 Individuals – Output restriction – Penalties/remedies – Go to jail and Prior awareness.....

Figure 9.2.3.2 Individuals – Output restriction - Penalties/remedies – Maximum Fine.....

Figure 9.2.3.3 Individuals – Output restriction - Penalties/remedies – Maximum jail sentence

Figure 9.2.4 Individuals – Comparison between types of cartel conduct - Penalties/remedies

Figure 9.2.4.1 Individuals – Comparison between types of cartel conduct - Penalties/remedies – Maximum fine

Figure 9.2.4.2 Individuals – Comparison between types of cartel conduct - Penalties/remedies– Maximum jail sentence

Figure 10.1 Factors bearing on seriousness – Price fixing

Figure 10.2 Factors bearing on seriousness – Market allocation

Figure 10.3 Factors bearing on seriousness – Output restriction.....

Figure 10.4 Factors bearing on seriousness - Comparison between types of cartel conduct

Figure 11.1 Immunity policy – Price fixing.....

Figure 11.2 Immunity policy – Market allocation

Figure 11.3 Immunity policy – Output restriction

Figure 11.4 Immunity policy - Comparison between types of cartel conduct.....

Figure 12.1 Crime seriousness ratings – Price fixing.....

Figure 12.2 Crime seriousness ratings – Market allocation.....

Figure 12.3 Crime seriousness ratings – Output restriction

Figure 12.4 Crime seriousness ratings - Comparison between types of cartel conduct.....

Figure 13.1A Summary of how respondents selected for Business group

Figure 13.1B The main work position held by members of the Business group

Figure 13.1C Relevant role – employees, managers and total Business group

Figure 13.2A Age of Business group compared with general public

Figure 13.2B Gender of Business group compared with general public.....

Figure 13.2C Highest education level achieved by members of Business group compared with general public.....

Figure 13.2D Main work position (employee or manager) of Business group compared with general public

Figure 13.2E Size of business in which members of Business group employed and proportion of businesses of each size in Australia

Figure 14.1 Do Business group respondents know that price fixing is against the law?

Figure 14.2A Business group respondents' knowledge that price fixing is a criminal offence?

Figure 14.2B Summary of Business group respondents' knowledge of law

Figure 14.3A Do Business group respondents know what penalties are available under the law for someone like Lee who agrees on prices with competitors?

Figure 14.3B Summary of Business group respondents' knowledge of penalties available under the law for someone who agrees on prices with competitors

Figure 14.4 Knowledge of the law (F1A) and view that price fixing should be against the law (D1).....

Figure 15.1A Likelihood that Ashley will be caught (civil sanctions)

Figure 15.1B Likelihood that Ashley will be caught (criminal sanctions).....

Figure 15.1C Likelihood that Ashley will be caught (mean response to scenario with civil sanctions compared to mean response to scenario with criminal sanctions)

Figure 15.2A Likelihood of legal action (civil sanctions)

Figure 15.2B Likelihood of legal action (criminal sanctions)

Figure 15.2C Likelihood of legal action (mean response to scenario with civil sanctions compared to mean response to scenario with criminal sanctions)

Figure 15.3A Likelihood that Ashley will be sentenced to jail (criminal sanctions only)

Figure 15.3B Likelihood that Ashley will be sentenced to jail (criminal sanctions only)

Figure 15.4A Likelihood of breach by Ashley (civil sanctions)

Figure 15.4B Likelihood of breach by Ashley (criminal sanctions).....

Figure 15.4C Likelihood of breach by Ashley (civil sanctions vs criminal sanctions)

Figure 15.4D Likelihood of breach by respondent (civil sanctions)

Figure 15.4E Likelihood of breach by respondent (criminal sanctions).....

Figure 15.4F Likelihood of breach by respondent (civil sanctions vs criminal sanctions).....

Figure 15.5A Civil sanctions - Likelihood of breach by Ashley (no pressure vs economic pressure vs social pressure).....

Figure 15.5B Criminal sanctions - Likelihood of breach by Ashley (no pressure vs economic pressure vs social pressure).....

Figure 15.5C Civil sanctions - Likelihood of breach by respondent (no pressure vs economic pressure vs social pressure).....

Figure 15.5D Criminal sanctions - Likelihood of breach by respondent (no pressure vs economic pressure vs social pressure).....

Figure 15.5E Summary of differences in likelihood of breach under different pressure conditions.....

Figure 15.6 Summary of correlations between perceptions of likelihood of enforcement and likelihood of breach.....