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**Part 1(A) — CASE NOTE**

| **Fn** | **Original Footnote** | **Corrected Footnote (with Track Changes On)** | **Support (Y/N)** | **Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **\*** | The author’s identify has been removed. The *Melbourne Journal of International Law* thanks the author for contributing their piece to the *MJIL* application task. | The author’s identify has been removed. The *Melbourne Journal of International Law* thanks the author for contributing their piece to the *MJIL* application task. |  |  |
| 1 | *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organisation,* signed 15 April 1994, 1867 UNTS 3 (entered into force 1 January 1995) art 14 (‘*Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures*’) art 5.6 (‘*SPS*’). | *Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organisation,* signed 15 April 1994, 1867 UNTS 3 (entered into force 1 January 1995) art 14 (‘*Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures*’) art 5.6 (‘*SPS*’). |  |  |
| 2 | Ibid. | Ibid. |  |  |
| 3a | *General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade*, opened for signature 30 October 1974, 55 UNTS 187 (entered into force 1 January 1948) art XX(b). | *General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade*, opened for signature 30 October 1974, 55 UNTS 187 (entered into force 1 January 1948) art XX(b). |  |  |
| 3b | Also see Rohin Koul, *WTO Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures: Development, Scope and Emerging Issues* (2019) 6(1) International Journal of Research and Analytical Review 866, 871. | Also see Rohin Koul, *WTO Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures: Development, Scope and Emerging Issues* (2019) 6(1) International Journal of Research and Analytical Review 866, 871. |  |  |
| 4 | Kamala Dawar & Eval Ronen, ‘How ‘Necessary’? A Comparison of Legal and Economic Assessments of the GATT Dispute Settlements under Article XX(b), TBT 2.2 and SPS 5.6’ (2016) 8(1) *Trade Law and Development* 1, 5, 9–10. | Kamala Dawar & Eval Ronen, ‘How ‘Necessary’? A Comparison of Legal and Economic Assessments of the GATT Dispute Settlements under Article XX(b), TBT 2.2 and SPS 5.6’ (2016) 8(1) *Trade Law and Development* 1, 5, 9–10. |  |  |
| 5 | *SPS* (n 1) art 5.6 | *SPS* (n 1) art 5.6 |  |  |
| 6 | Appellate Body Report, *Australia - Measures affecting Importation of Salmon*, Doc WT/DS18/AB/R (6 November 1998) [194] (‘*Australia - Salmon*’). | Appellate Body Report, *Australia - Measures affecting Importation of Salmon*, Doc WT/DS18/AB/R (6 November 1998) [194] (‘*Australia - Salmon*’). |  |  |
| 7 | Appellate Body Report, *Australia — Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon*, WTO Doc WT/DS18/AB/R (20 October 1998) [194]. | Appellate Body Report, *Australia — Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon*, WTO Doc WT/DS18/AB/R (20 October 1998) [194]. |  |  |
| 8a | Panel Report, *Korea — Import Bans, and Testing and Certification Requirements for Radionuclides*, WTO Doc WT/DS495/R (22 February 2018) (‘*Korea — Radionuclides*’). | Panel Report, *Korea — Import Bans, and Testing and Certification Requirements for Radionuclides*, WTO Doc WT/DS495/R (22 February 2018) (‘*Korea — Radionuclides*’). |  |  |
| 8b | Appellate Body Report, *Korea — Import Bans, and Testing and Certification Requirements for Radionuclides*, WTO Doc WT/DS495/R (26 April 2019) (‘*Korea — Radionuclides*’). | Appellate Body Report, *Korea — Import Bans, and Testing and Certification Requirements for Radionuclides*, WTO Doc WT/DS495/R (26 April 2019) (‘*Korea — Radionuclides*’). |  |  |
| 9 | *SPS* (n 1) Annex A, para 5 (emphasis). | *SPS* (n 1) Annex A, para 5 (emphasis). |  |  |
| 10 | ibid. | ibid. |  |  |
| 11 | Appellate Body Report, *EC Measures concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones)*, WTO Doc WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R (16 January 1998) [173] (‘*EC – Hormones*’). | Appellate Body Report, *EC Measures concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones)*, WTO Doc WT/DS26/AB/R, WT/DS48/AB/R (16 January 1998) [173] (‘*EC – Hormones*’). |  |  |
| 12a | Appellate Body, *India – Measures Concerning the Importation of Certain Agricultural Products*, WTO Doc WT/DS430/AB/R (4 June 2015) [5.205] (‘*India — Agricultural Products*’); | Appellate Body, *India – Measures Concerning the Importation of Certain Agricultural Products*, WTO Doc WT/DS430/AB/R (4 June 2015) [5.205] (‘*India — Agricultural Products*’); |  |  |
| 12b | Panel Report, *Australia — Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples from New Zealand*, WTO Doc WT/DS367/R (9 August 2010) [342]; *Australia — Salmon* (n 6) [199]. | Panel Report, *Australia — Measures Affecting the Importation of Apples from New Zealand*, WTO Doc WT/DS367/R (9 August 2010) [342]; *Australia — Salmon* (n 6) [199]. |  |  |
| 13 | Appellate Body Report, *India — Agricultural Products*, WTO Doc WT/DS430/AB/R (n 12) [5.221]. | Appellate Body Report, *India — Agricultural Products*, WTO Doc WT/DS430/AB/R (n 12) [5.221]. |  |  |
| 14 | Appellate Body Report, *Korea — Radionuclides*, WTO Doc WT/DS495/AB/R (n 8) [7.159]. | Appellate Body Report, *Korea — Radionuclides*, WTO Doc WT/DS495/AB/R (n 8) [7.159]. |  |  |
| 15 | Appellate Body Report, *Australia — Salmon*, WTO Doc WTO/DS18/AB/R (n 6) [199]. | Appellate Body Report, *Australia — Salmon*, WTO Doc WTO/DS18/AB/R (n 6) [199]. |  |  |
| 16a | Appellate Body Report, *India — Agricultural Products*, WTO Doc WT/DS430/AB/R (n 12) [207]; | Appellate Body Report, *India — Agricultural Products*, WTO Doc WT/DS430/AB/R (n 12) [207]; |  |  |
| 16b | Appellate Body Report, *Australia — Salmon*, WTO Doc WT/DS18/AB/R (n 6) [5.221]. | Appellate Body Report, *Australia — Salmon*, WTO Doc WT/DS18/AB/R (n 6) [5.221]. |  |  |
| 17 | William J. Davey, ‘A Permanent Panel Body for WTO Dispute Settlement: Desirable or Practical?’, in Daniel L.M. Kennedy and James D. Southwick (ed), *The Political Economy of International Trade Law: Essays in Honour of Robert E. Hudec* (CUP, 2002) 550. | William J. Davey, ‘A Permanent Panel Body for WTO Dispute Settlement: Desirable or Practical?’, in Daniel L.M. Kennedy and James D. Southwick (ed), *The Political Economy of International Trade Law: Essays in Honour of Robert E. Hudec* (CUP, 2002) 550. |  |  |
| 18 | *SPS* (n 1) art 3.2. | *SPS* (n 1) art 3.2. |  |  |
| 19 | Appellate Body Report, *Korea — Radionuclides*, WTO Doc WT/DS495/AB/R (n 8) [7.165]–[7.171]. | Appellate Body Report, *Korea — Radionuclides*, WTO Doc WT/DS495/AB/R (n 8) [7.165]–[7.171]. |  |  |
| 20 | Ibid [7.166] [7.167] [7.171]. | Ibid [7.166] [7.167] [7.171]. |  |  |
| 21 | Ibid [7.169]. | Ibid [7.169]. |  |  |
| 22 | Ibid [7.170], [7.171]. | Ibid [7.170], [7.171]. |  |  |
| 23 | Ibid annex A, para 5. | Ibid annex A, para 5. |  |  |

**Part 1(B) — EASIER SAID THAN DONE**

| **Fn** | **Original Footnote** | **Corrected Footnote (with Track Changes On)** | **Support** | **GM Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **\*** | The author’s identity has been removed. The *Melbourne Journal of International Law* (‘*MJIL*’)thanks the author for contributing their piece to the *MJIL* application task. | The author’s identity has been removed. The *Melbourne Journal of International Law* (‘*MJIL*’)thanks the author for contributing their piece to the *MJIL* application task. |  |  |
| 24 | See Queen Elizabeth University of London, ‘2015 International Arbitration Survey: Improvements and Innovations in International Arbitration’ (Survey, 2015) 5 <http://www.arbitration.qmul.ac.uk/research/2015/>. | See Queen Elizabeth University of London, ‘2015 International Arbitration Survey: Improvements and Innovations in International Arbitration’ (Survey, 2015) 5 <http://www.arbitration.qmul.ac.uk/research/2015/>. |  |  |
| 25a | International Mediation Institution, ‘The Singapore Report: shaping the future of dispute resolution and improving access to justice’ (Global Pound Conference Series 2016-17, December 2017) 77–8 <https://www.imimediation.org/research/gpc/series-data-and-reports/> (‘Global Pound Conference Series Report’); | International Mediation Institution, ‘The Singapore Report: shaping the future of dispute resolution and improving access to justice’ (Global Pound Conference Series 2016-17, December 2017) 77–8 <https://www.imimediation.org/research/gpc/series-data-and-reports/> (‘Global Pound Conference Series Report’); |  |  |
| 25b | David Weiss and Michael Griffith, ‘Report on International Mediation and Enforcement Mechanism’ (Survey Report, International Mediation Association, 2017) 14–6 <https://www.imimediation.org/research/surveys/survey-enforceability-mediated-settlement/> | David Weiss and Michael Griffith, ‘Report on International Mediation and Enforcement Mechanism’ (Survey Report, International Mediation Association, 2017) 14–6 <https://www.imimediation.org/research/surveys/survey-enforceability-mediated-settlement/> |  |  |
| 25c | Similar surveys and research have been conducted assessing business attitudes towards international mediation arbitration and other dispute resolution mechanisms, see, eg, S I Strong, ‘Realising Rationality: An Empirical Assessment of International Commercial Mediation’ (2016) 73(4) *Washington and Lee Law Review* 1973 (‘Realising Rationality’); | Similar surveys and research have been conducted assessing business attitudes towards international mediation arbitration and other dispute resolution mechanisms, see, eg, S I Strong, ‘Realising Rationality: An Empirical Assessment of International Commercial Mediation’ (2016) 73(4) *Washington and Lee Law Review* 1973 (‘Realising Rationality’); |  |  |
| 25d | SI Strong, ‘Use and Perception of International Commercial Mediation and Conciliation: A Preliminary Report on Issues Relating to the Proposed UNCITRAL Convention on International Commercial Mediation and Conciliation’ (Research Paper No 2014-28, School of Law, University of Missouri, 2014) <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=2526302> (‘Use and Perception of International Commercial Mediation’). | SI Strong, ‘Use and Perception of International Commercial Mediation and Conciliation: A Preliminary Report on Issues Relating to the Proposed UNCITRAL Convention on International Commercial Mediation and Conciliation’ (Research Paper No 2014-28, School of Law, University of Missouri, 2014) <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=2526302> (‘Use and Perception of International Commercial Mediation’). |  |  |
| 26a | Strong, ‘Use and Perception of International Commercial Mediation’ (n 25) 1985; *United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation*, opened for signature 7 August 2019 (not yet in force); | Strong, ‘Use and Perception of International Commercial Mediation’ (n 25) 1985; *United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation*, opened for signature 7 August 2019 (not yet in force); |  |  |
| 26b | The treaty text is currently available in an annex to a United Nations General Assembly resolution: *United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation*, GA Res 73/198, 73rd sess, Agenda item 80, Doc A/RES/73/198 (20 December 2018) annex (‘United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation’). | The treaty text is currently available in an annex to a United Nations General Assembly resolution: *United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation*, GA Res 73/198, 73rd sess, Agenda item 80, Doc A/RES/73/198 (20 December 2018) annex (‘United Nations Convention on International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation’). |  |  |
| 27 | *Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards. Done at New York, on 10 June 1958*, opened for signature 10 June 1958, 330 UTNS 38 (entered into force 7 June 1959) (‘*New York Convention*’). | *Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards. Done at New York, on 10 June 1958*, opened for signature 10 June 1958, 330 UTNS 38 (entered into force 7 June 1959) (‘*New York Convention*’). |  |  |
| 28 | ‘What is Mediation?’ *IMI* (Web Page) <https://www.imimediation.org/resources/background/what-is-mediation/>. | ‘What is Mediation?’ *IMI* (Web Page) <https://www.imimediation.org/resources/background/what-is-mediation/>. |  |  |
| 29 | See Chang-Fa Lo, ‘Desirability of a New International Legal Framework for Cross-border Enforcement of Certain Mediated Settlement Agreements’ (2014) 7(1) *Contemp. Asia Arb. J.* 127–8. | See Chang-Fa Lo, ‘Desirability of a New International Legal Framework for Cross-border Enforcement of Certain Mediated Settlement Agreements’ (2014) 7(1) *Contemp. Asia Arb. J.* 127–8. |  |  |
| 30a | Queen Mary University of London (n 24) 7, 24; | Queen Mary University of London (n 24) 7, 24; |  |  |
| 30b | S I Strong, ‘Realising Rationality’ (n 25) 1982–3. | S I Strong, ‘Realising Rationality’ (n 25) 1982–3. |  |  |
| 31 | Bruce Love, ‘New UN Singapore Convention Drives Shift to Mediation of Trade Dispute’, *Financial Times* (online, 5 August 2019) <https://www.nytimes.com/video/opinion/100000002847155/verbatim-what-is-a-photocopier.html?playlistId=video/opdocs-season-3>. | Bruce Love, ‘New UN Singapore Convention Drives Shift to Mediation of Trade Dispute’, *Financial Times* (online, 5 August 2019) <https://www.nytimes.com/video/opinion/100000002847155/verbatim-what-is-a-photocopier.html?playlistId=video/opdocs-season-3>. |  |  |
| 32 | Bruce Love, ‘New UN Singapore Convention Drives Shift to Mediation of Trade Dispute’, *Financial Times* (online, 5 August 2019) <https://www.ft.com/content/6e1df030-9e6f-11e9-9c06-a4640c9feebb>. | Bruce Love, ‘New UN Singapore Convention Drives Shift to Mediation of Trade Dispute’, *Financial Times* (online, 5 August 2019) <https://www.ft.com/content/6e1df030-9e6f-11e9-9c06-a4640c9feebb>. |  |  |
| 33 | Edna Sussman, ‘The Reasons for Mediation’s Bright Future’ (2008) 1(1) *New York Dispute Resolution Lawyer* 57, 59–60. | Edna Sussman, ‘The Reasons for Mediation’s Bright Future’ (2008) 1(1) *New York Dispute Resolution Lawyer* 57, 59–60. |  |  |
| 34 | Jan O’Neill, ‘The New Singapore Convention: some practical issues to consider now’, *Thomson Reuters* (Blog Post, 18 September 2019) <http://disputeresolutionblog.practicallaw.com/the-new-singapore-convention-some-practical-issues-to-consider-now/>. | Jan O’Neill, ‘The New Singapore Convention: some practical issues to consider now’, *Thomson Reuters* (Blog Post, 18 September 2019) <http://disputeresolutionblog.practicallaw.com/the-new-singapore-convention-some-practical-issues-to-consider-now/>. |  |  |
| 35 | Sussman (n 33) 59. | Sussman (n 33) 59. |  |  |
| 36a | See, eg, Queen Mary University of London (n 24) 5; | See, eg, Queen Mary University of London (n 24) 5; |  |  |
| 36b | CIArb Singapore, ‘Study on Governing Law & Jurisdictional Choices in Cross-Border Transactions’, *Singapore Academy of Law* (Survey Report, 1 January 2016) <www.ciarb.org.sg/singapore-academy-of-law-study-on-governing-law-jurisdiction-choices-in-cross-border-transaction/> 2. | CIArb Singapore, ‘Study on Governing Law & Jurisdictional Choices in Cross-Border Transactions’, *Singapore Academy of Law* (Survey Report, 1 January 2016) <www.ciarb.org.sg/singapore-academy-of-law-study-on-governing-law-jurisdiction-choices-in-cross-border-transaction/> 2. |  |  |
| 37 | Lo (n 29) 112. | Lo (n 29) 112. |  |  |
| 38 | S.I. Strong, ‘Beyond International Commercial Arbitration? The Promise of International Commercial Mediation’ (2014) 45 *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy* 11, 27–8 (‘The Promise of International Commercial Mediation’). | S.I. Strong, ‘Beyond International Commercial Arbitration? The Promise of International Commercial Mediation’ (2014) 45 *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy* 11, 27–8 (‘The Promise of International Commercial Mediation’). |  |  |
| 39 | See, eg, *New York Convention* (n 27); *Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes Between States and Nationals of Other States*, opened for signature 18 March 1965 (enter into force 15 October 1966). | See, eg, *New York Convention* (n 27); *Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes Between States and Nationals of Other States*, opened for signature 18 March 1965 (enter into force 15 October 1966). |  |  |
| 40 | Jan O’Neill, ‘The New Singapore Convention: Will It be the New York Convention for Mediation?’, *Thomson Reuters Dispute Resolution Blog* (Blog Post, 19 November 2018) <http://disputeresolutionblog.practicallaw.com/the-new-singapore-convention-will-it-be-the-new-york-convention-for-mediation/> (‘*The New York Convention for Mediation*’). | Jan O’Neill, ‘The New Singapore Convention: Will It be the New York Convention for Mediation?’, *Thomson Reuters Dispute Resolution Blog* (Blog Post, 19 November 2018) <http://disputeresolutionblog.practicallaw.com/the-new-singapore-convention-will-it-be-the-new-york-convention-for-mediation/> (‘*The New York Convention for Mediation*’). |  |  |
| 41 | See Queen Mary University of London (n 24) 5–6; Strong, ‘The Promise of International Commercial Mediation’ (n 38) 28. | See Queen Mary University of London (n 24) 5–6; Strong, ‘The Promise of International Commercial Mediation’ (n 38) 28. |  |  |
| 42 | *Report of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law on the Work of its Thirty-Fifth Session: Report of the Sixth Committee,* UN GAOR, 57th sess, Agenda Item 155, 6th Comm, UN Doc A/57/562 (1 November 2002) annex. | *Report of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law on the Work of its Thirty-Fifth Session: Report of the Sixth Committee,* UN GAOR, 57th sess, Agenda Item 155, 6th Comm, UN Doc A/57/562 (1 November 2002) annex. |  |  |
| 43 | Edna Sussman, ‘A Path Forward: A Convention for the Enforcement of Mediated Settlement Agreements’ [2015] (6) *Transnational Dispute Management* 1, 2 (‘A Path Forward’). | Edna Sussman, ‘A Path Forward: A Convention for the Enforcement of Mediated Settlement Agreements’ [2015] (6) *Transnational Dispute Management* 1, 2 (‘A Path Forward’). |  |  |
| 44 | ‘Status: UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with Amendments as Adopted in 2006’, *United Nations Commission on International Trade Law* (Web Page) https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/arbitration/modellaw/commercial\_arbitration/status. | ‘Status: UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with Amendments as Adopted in 2006’, *United Nations Commission on International Trade Law* (Web Page) https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/arbitration/modellaw/commercial\_arbitration/status. |  |  |
| 45 | See generally *Directive 2008/52/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on Certain Aspects of Mediation in Civil and Commercial Matters* [2008] OJ L 136/3. | See generally *Directive 2008/52/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on Certain Aspects of Mediation in Civil and Commercial Matters* [2008] OJ L 136/3. |  |  |
| 46 | See for a summary of different legal instruments and processes used to enforce iMSAs *Settlement of Commercial Disputes: Enforceability of Settlement Agreements Resulting from International Commercial Conciliation/Mediation: Note by the Secretariat*, UN GAOR, 62nd sess, UN Doc A/CN9/WGII/WP187 (27 November 2014) 6–8 [20]–[30] (‘*WGII Secretariat Note – 62nd sess*’). | See for a summary of different legal instruments and processes used to enforce iMSAs *Settlement of Commercial Disputes: Enforceability of Settlement Agreements Resulting from International Commercial Conciliation/Mediation: Note by the Secretariat*, UN GAOR, 62nd sess, UN Doc A/CN9/WGII/WP187 (27 November 2014) 6–8 [20]–[30] (‘*WGII Secretariat Note – 62nd sess*’). |  |  |
| 47 | Ibid 6 [21]. | Ibid 6 [21]. |  |  |
| 48 | Edna Sussman, ‘The Singapore Convention: Promoting the Enforcement and Recognition of International Mediated Settlement Agreements’ [2018] (3) *ICC Dispute Resolution Bulletin* 42, 46 (‘Promoting Enforcement and Recognition’). | Edna Sussman, ‘The Singapore Convention: Promoting the Enforcement and Recognition of International Mediated Settlement Agreements’ [2018] (3) *ICC Dispute Resolution Bulletin* 42, 46 (‘Promoting Enforcement and Recognition’). |  |  |
| 49 | *WGII Secretariat Note – 62nd sess* (n 46) 6–7 [22]–[25]. | *WGII Secretariat Note – 62nd sess* (n 46) 6–7 [22]–[25]. |  |  |
| 50 | Sussman, ‘A Path Forward’ (n 43) 46. | Sussman, ‘A Path Forward’ (n 43) 46. |  |  |
| 51 | *New York Convention* (n 27) art 1(1) (emphasis altered). | *New York Convention* (n 27) art 1(1) (emphasis altered). |  |  |
| 52 | See, eg, *Arbitration Act 1996* (UK) s 6. | See, eg, *Arbitration Act 1996* (UK) s 6. |  |  |
| 53 | Frederico Antich, ‘Enforcing the Mediated Settlement and the Need for an Appropriate Legal Framework: Some Reflections from Within the EU and Beyond’ (2017) 5 *Yearbook on International Arbitration* 325, 326; | Frederico Antich, ‘Enforcing the Mediated Settlement and the Need for an Appropriate Legal Framework: Some Reflections from Within the EU and Beyond’ (2017) 5 *Yearbook on International Arbitration* 325, 326; |  |  |
| 53b | Lucy Reed, ‘Ultima Thule: Prospects for International Commercial Mediation’ (Working Paper 19/03, NUS Centre for International Law, January 2019) 18 <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=3339788>. | Lucy Reed, ‘Ultima Thule: Prospects for International Commercial Mediation’ (Working Paper 19/03, NUS Centre for International Law, January 2019) 18 <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=3339788>. |  |  |
| 54 | Alexander Oddy, ‘Is the Enforceability of Mediated Settlements Holding Back Commercial Dispute Resolution?’, *International Mediation Institute* (Web Page, 21 April 2017) <https://www.imimediation.org/2017/04/21/is-the-enforceability-of-mediated-settlements-holding-back-commercial-dispute-resolution/>. | Alexander Oddy, ‘Is the Enforceability of Mediated Settlements Holding Back Commercial Dispute Resolution?’, *International Mediation Institute* (Web Page, 21 April 2017) <https://www.imimediation.org/2017/04/21/is-the-enforceability-of-mediated-settlements-holding-back-commercial-dispute-resolution/>. |  |  |
| 55 | Dorcas Quek Anderson , Nadja Alexander and Anna Howard, ‘UNCITRAL and the Enforceability of iMSAs: The Debate Heats Up – Part 3’, *Kluwer Mediation Blog* (Blog Post, 22 September 2016) <http://mediationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2016/09/22/uncitral-and-the-enforceability-of-imsas-the-debate-heats-up-part-3/?doing\_wp\_cron=1594813117.6046059131622314453125>.. | Dorcas Quek Anderson , Nadja Alexander and Anna Howard, ‘UNCITRAL and the Enforceability of iMSAs: The Debate Heats Up – Part 3’, *Kluwer Mediation Blog* (Blog Post, 22 September 2016) <http://mediationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2016/09/22/uncitral-and-the-enforceability-of-imsas-the-debate-heats-up-part-3/?doing\_wp\_cron=1594813117.6046059131622314453125>.. |  |  |
| 56 | Andreas Hacke ‘“New York Convention II” to Come?’ [2015] (2) *Dispute Resolution* 10, 11. | Andreas Hacke ‘“New York Convention II” to Come?’ [2015] (2) *Dispute Resolution* 10, 11. |  |  |
| 57a | Veronika Vanisova, ‘Current Issues in International Commercial Mediation: Short Note on the Nature of Agreement Resulting from Mediation in the Light of the Singapore Convention’ (Working Paper 2019/II/5, Faculty of Law, Charles University in Prague, 27 June 2019) <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=3413560>; | Veronika Vanisova, ‘Current Issues in International Commercial Mediation: Short Note on the Nature of Agreement Resulting from Mediation in the Light of the Singapore Convention’ (Working Paper 2019/II/5, Faculty of Law, Charles University in Prague, 27 June 2019) <https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=3413560>; |  |  |
| 57b | Timothy Schnabel, ‘The Singapore Convention of Mediation: A Framework for the Cross-Border Recognition and Enforcement of Mediated Settlements’ (2019) 19(1) *Pepperdine Dispute Resolution Law Journal* 1, 3–4. | Timothy Schnabel, ‘The Singapore Convention of Mediation: A Framework for the Cross-Border Recognition and Enforcement of Mediated Settlements’ (2019) 19(1) *Pepperdine Dispute Resolution Law Journal* 1, 3–4. |  |  |
| 58 | See (n 2). | See (n 2). |  |  |